1 2	ORRY P. KORB, County Counsel (S.B. #114399) MELISSA R. KINIYALOCTS, Deputy County Counsel (S.B. #215814) OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL 70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, Ninth Floor		
3	San Jose, California 95110-1770		
4	Telephone: (408) 299-5900 Facsimile: (408) 292-7240		
5	Attorneys for Defendants SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH and		
6	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	(San Francisco)		
10			
11	TOM SCOCCA, MADISON SOCIETY, INC., and THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC.,	No. CV11-01318 EMC	
12	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING JUNE 18, 2015 CASE	
13	V.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
14	SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH (In her individual		
15	and official capacity.), COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, and DOES 1 to 20,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	The parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
19	1. On January 14, 2013, the Court issued an order staying this case until the Ninth Circuit		
20	rules on Peruta v. County of San Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto (Yolo County),		
21	Case No.: 11-16255. The Court previously continued case management conferences in this action		
22	on the grounds that <i>Richards</i> and <i>Peruta</i> were still pending.		
23	2. The Tankin Chount is reviewing for and and the man as on came, with order argument		
24			
25	3. The parties agree that the June 18, 2015 Case Management Conference should be		
26	continued while the Ninth Circuit considers en banc review in Peruta and Richards.		
27	//		
28	//		

Case3:11-cv-01318-EMC Document87 Filed06/03/15 Page2 of 2

1	I hereby attest that I have on file all h	nologi	raph signatures for any signatures indicated by a
2	"conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document.		
3			ORRY P. KORB
4			COUNTY COUNSEL
5	Dated: June 3, 2015	By:	/S/
6			MELISSA R. KINIYALOCTS Deputy County Counsel
7			Attorneys for Defendants
8			SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH and COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
9			
10			LAW OFFICES OF DONALD KILMER
11			
12	Dated: June 3, 2015	By:	/S/ DONALD E.J. KILMER, JR., ESQ.
13			Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14			Attorneys for Flamenrs
-			
15			
		OR	DER
15	The June 18, 2015 Case Managemen		10.20
15 16		t Con	10.20
15 16 17	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this	t Con	ference is continued to 8/6/15 at 10:30 a.m.
15 16 17 18	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this	t Con	Inference is continued to 8/6/15 at 10:30 a.m. twithin 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing ago, Case No.: 10-56971 and <i>Richards v. Prieto</i>
15 16 17 18	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	t Con	Afference is continued to 8/6/15 at 10:30 a.m. It within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing ago, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto
115 116 117 118 119 220	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	t Con	ference is continued to 8/6/15 at 10:30 a.m. It within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing ago, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	t Con	deference is continued to 8/6/15 at 10:30 a.m. It within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing ago, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN Visited States District Court Age CO ORDERED
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	t Con Cour In Die	deference is continued to 8/6/15 at 10:30 a.m. It within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing ago, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN Visited States District Court Age IT IS SO ORDERED IT IS SO ORDERED
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	t Con Cour In Die	deference is continued to 8/6/15 at 10:30 a.m. It within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing ago, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN Visited States District Court Agos IT IS SO ORDERED IT IS SO ORDERED
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	t Con Cour In Die	deference is continued to 8/6/15 at 10:30 a.m. It within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing ago, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN Visited States District Court Agos IT IS SO ORDERED IT IS SO ORDERED
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 23 224 225	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v.County of Sa (Yolo County)</i> , Case No.: 11-16255.	t Con Cour In Die	If the second to 8/6/15 at 10:30 a.m. twithin 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing the second to 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN Whited States District Court the Second Test Seco